Attorney Docket No.: Q78196

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Appln. No.: 10/694,894

REMARKS

Claims 1-10 are all the claims pending in the application. Claims 2-10 are withdrawn

from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected species. New claims 11-20 are added via

this Amendment.

35 USC § 112:

Original claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

because "the other bracket" is recited while no "other bracket" is recited. Amended claim 1

further defines the brackets rendering claim 1 definite. Withdrawn of the rejection is respectfully

requested.

35 USC § 102:

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimizu (U.S. Pub.

No. 2002/0043883). The rejection is respectfully traversed in view of the following remarks.

Shimizu does not disclose each feature recited in amended claim 1. For example,

Shimizu is cited for disclosing an output terminal board 174 mounted on a bracket of an electric

rotating machine having output harnesses 182. Shimizu discloses three output harnesses that are

illustrated in Figure 2. Exemplary features of claim 1 include the first and second brackets,

wherein the output harnesses are connected to the output terminal board in such a manner as to

extend in a direction opposite to the outward direction which the second bracket faces.

-7-

The single bracket of Shimizu cited in the rejection does not disclose the claimed first

and second brackets of the claim 1. Further, the claimed configuration of the output terminal

board in relation to the first and second brackets is also not disclosed by Shimizu. The rejection

of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) is therefore respectfully requested to be withdrawn.

35 USC § 103:

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imori et al. (U.S.

Pat. No. 4,683,390 [hereinafter "Imori"]) in view of Shimizu. This rejection is respectfully

traversed in view of the following remarks.

The unique combination of elements provide an efficient configuration and use of space

not taught by the prior art. As one would appreciate, a rotating machining using multiple output

harnesses such as Shimizu is different than an AC generator as in Imori that uses one output

terminal 11 (see Figs 1 and 2 of Imori). The different devices may require different design

considerations and would not necessarily benefit from each other's teachings aside from those

teachings disclosed in the references or known in the art at the time of the respective inventions.

The combination of Imori and Shimizu do not teach nor suggest each feature recited in

claim 1. For example, Imori does not teach nor suggest the claimed output harnesses (plural) as

recited in claim 1. Further, the claimed configuration including the first and second brackets is

not disclosed by Imori. Moreover, one would not have applied the multiple harness

configuration of Shimizu to Imori due to Imori only requiring one output terminal. On the other

hand if arguendo one were to apply a single harness of Shimizu to Imori, the claimed invention

-8-

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Appln. No.: 10/694,894

still would not be taught or suggested because of claim 1 reciting more than one harness.

Attorney Docket No.: Q78196

Therefore, the combination of Shimizu and Imori does not render amended claim 1 obvious, such

that the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) should be withdrawn.

NEW CLAIMS:

New claims 11-20 are added to obtain more varied protection for the invention. Each of

these claims is respectfully submitted as patentable by virtue of their respective dependencies

upon claim 1, in addition to their individual recitations.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Maniel V. Williams

Registration No. 45,221

Date: January 25, 2005

-9-